

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS (With Special Instructions to Clerk of the Court)

The Court has made an independent review of the following matters in the above-styled and numbered cause:

- 1. The pleadings and record;
- 2. The proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed on March 24, 2000.
- 3. The Petitioner's written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed on March 28, 2000.

The Court, after <u>de novo</u> review, finds and determines that Petitioner's objections must be overruled, and that the petition for writ of habeas corpus should be denied for the reasons stated in the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions.

It is therefore ORDERED that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge should be, and are hereby, ADOPTED.

It is further ORDERED that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be, and is hereby, DENIED.

¹The text of petitioner Gholson's written objections is virtually identical to the text of a May 26, 1999 Supplemental Memorandum of Law reviewed and considered in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation on DOCKET



JUN 292000

It is further ORDERED that the clerk of the Court shall transmit a copy of this order to Petitioner by certified mail, return receipt requested.

SIGNED June <u>29</u>, 2000.

TERRY R. MEANS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE